Student Learning Committee Navajo Tech

Minutes

Attendees: Joseph Lamperez, Daniel McLaughlin (chair), Peter			
Moore, Lola Natay, Sharon Nelson, Rachel Pacheco, Christine			
Reidhead, Nabanita Saikia, Brian Tatsukawa, Cheryl Tom & Terry	Date: Fri May 20 2022		
Yazzie	Start: 9:00 am		
Excused: Reza Ehtestami, Bruce Lewis, & Abhishek RoyChowdhury	End: 9:45 pm		
Absent: Dana Desidero, Virgil House, Jones Lee, Vangee Nez, &	Via Zoom: 873 8490 3761		
Frank Todacheeny	714 200111: 070 0400 0701		
Updated AY22 SLC attendance: Summary for AY22 is available <u>here.</u>	A ation R manage		
Agenda items & notes	Action & persons		
	responsible		
I. Approval of the agenda			
Approved by consensus.			
II. Previous minutes			
Approved by consensus.			
III. Reports			
1. SL Coordinator.			
a. HLC report. There was general agreement that the recently re-			
ceived evaluation report by the HLC Mid-Cycle Review Team			
was disappointingly vague, incomplete, and inaccurate.			
b. Closing out AY22. McLaughlin mentioned that there are two			
tasks remaining: i) send peer review summaries to faculty			
teams and ii) complete Annual SL Report.			
c. Handing off SL coordinator duties. McLaughlin is working	Change permissions for all		
with academic administration to fill vacancy created by his re-	SL folders from Edit to		
tirement. He has arranged to assist new hire if needed to en-	View to ensure data integ-		
sure smooth transition.	rity till new SL coord is		
d. Three buckets. SL Coord position breaks down into three	hired: McLaughlin		
buckets: i) program assessment, ii) GenEd, and iii) program re-			
view. McLaughlin is encouraging academic administration to			
assign separate entities to each bucket.			
e. Annual planning calendars. To enable transitions McLaughlin	Review annual calendars		
has created calendars for <u>Student Learning</u> and <u>Program Re-</u>	and plans with academic		
View.	administration: McLaugh-		
f. AGEnda. An annual calendar for GenEd, over multiple years,	lin		
already exists. It needs to be updated, however, by the GEC.			
IV. Old business			
Peer Reviews debriefing. Extended conversation took place			
around two prompts. Main points follow:	Include in revisioning of		
a. What went well?	Annual Student Learning		
- Being able to see how faculty peers are doing academic as-	Guide for AY23: new SL		
sessment	Coordinator		
- Breaking the SLC into review teams			
- Having a facilitator for each team	Consider at the beginning		
- Setting the stage at pre-review sessions	of AY23: new SLC		
- Having individual help available	3.7.1.23.1.31.32.3		
- Taking advantage of individual help sessions with SL coor-			
dinator			

- Scheduling trail runs with practice
- Arranging peer reviews so that each team member can conduct reviews individually
- Embracing opportunity to provide helpful feedback to faculty colleagues (by those conducting the peer reviews)

b. What can be improved?

- Most program mission statements are not connected to NTU's mission: for many program designers there's no commitment to DPE (maybe due to lack of understanding)
- Many program designs have little apparent connection to the Navajo and Zuni communities that NTU serves
- Faculty need clearer directions for each step of the program design and assessment process. Samples of good work will help.
- There are opportunities for faculty to express clearer statements of program improvements. Professional development could focus on this area at convocation and periodic training sessions (e.g., brown-bag lunch sessions).
- The peer review rubric can provide more space for comments in each of the five criteria
- Examples of effective peer review comments, and training and practice in articulating them, would be helpful in improving peer reviews.

	proving poor reviews.		
	V. New business		
None			
	VI. Announcements		
None			
Next SLC Meeting			
At Convocation for Fall 2022			
	Date, time, & location: TBD		