Student Learning Committee Navajo Tech

Minutes

Attendees: Reza Ehtestami, Bruce Lewis, Daniel McLaughlin (chair), Peter Moore, Lola Natay, Sharon Nelson, Vangee Nez, Rachel Pacheco, Christine Reidhead, Abhishek RoyChowdhury, Brian Tatsu- kawa, Frank Todacheeny, Cheryl Tom, & Terry Yazzie Excused: Virgil House Absent: Dana Desidero Jones Lee, Nabanita Saikia Updated AY22 SLC attendance: Is available <u>here</u>	Date: Fri Mar 4 2022 Start: 1:00 pm End: 1:45 pm Via Zoom: 873 8490 3761		
Agenda items & notes	Action & persons responsible		
I. Approval of the agenda			
Approved by consensus.			
II. Previous minutes			
Approved by consensus.			
III. Reports			
 NMHEAR presentation. Vangee, Sharon, Cheryl, and Dan shared presentation highlights. Was well rec'd by attentive audience. Should be emphasized at Convocation for NTU faculty. HLC visit analysis. Two members of the HLC evaluation team, on 	Emphasize at future convocations for NTU faculty: aca- demic administra-		
Mon Feb 28, held a listening session regarding Criteria 3 & 4. They asked a short list of questions regarding assessment. SLC members analyzed participants' responses and brainstormed what we <i>could have said.</i>	tion		
a. "How would you characterize assessment?" Assessment is an ongoing mission-driven process for improving student learn- ing.	Incorporate ques- tions and appropri- ate responses in		
b. "How do you establish PSLO's?" By analyzing (in teams of FT faculty) goals or standards set by relevant disciplinary associations or accreditors; also by analyzing NTU's mission and goals and considering what we want graduates to be familiar with, apply, and value by the time they graduate.	new section in future SL Guides; archive as reference mate- rial for future HLC visits: SLC coordi-		
c. "How do students know about the PSLO's." By spelling them out in relevant course syllabi (we realized we don't do this.)	nator		
d. "Provide an example of an improvement based on assess- ment." These kinds of improvements are listed in our SLR's footer: Instruction (teaching method); Delivery (face-to-face, hy- brid, online); Student readiness (books, laptops, hybrid, online); Curriculum (syllabus, outcomes, activities); Learning materials (readings, online resources); Assessments (exams, projects, ru- brics); Advising (scheduling, prerequisites).			
e. "How do you ensure that assessments apply across cam- puses?" Full-time faculty across campuses work on program as- sessment together in teams, meeting at least several times via Zoom each semester according to our Annual Assessment Cy- cle.			
IV. Old business			

1. E	nd-of-year peer reviews: second look. Extended discussion took	Present detailed
р	ace. Highlights follow:	draft steps for adop-
a	Faculty are obligated to work the week beyond graduation: Mon	tion at next SLC
	May 16-Fri May 20.	meeting: SLC Coor-
b	SLR's will need to be finalized and posted online by Tue May 17,	dinator
	5 pm.	
C	SLC could be organized in review teams (like last year).	
d	Each team would have 4-6 SLR's to review (like last year).	
е	This time, teams could have 2 days to produce peer reviews (us-	
	ing SLR rubric): Wed May 18-Thu May 19. They would organize	
	that work on their own. (Some members suggested doing this via	
	Zoom.)	
f.	SLC would wrap up all reviews at final meeting on Fri May 20.	
	V. New business	
None		
	VI. Announcements	
None).	
Future meetings in spring semester		
	Fri Apr 8 & Fri May 6 • 1:00-2:00 pm	
	via Zoom ID 873 8490 3761	